

## Comparable districts to Dresden School District

### Statement of Purpose

This analysis provides four lists of districts comparable to Dresden Interstate District based on a different criteria. Because the district is unique in its structure and employment base as the home of Dartmouth College and Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, as an interstate district, as a component district, and its location in a rural area, this report uses a number of variables to define comparability.

### Methodology

#### *Data Sources*

Data was collected from three national data series. The first dataset includes demographic, housing, and social variables from School District Demographics, produced by the Census Bureau for the National Commission on Education Statistics (NCES), the statistical agency of the U.S. Department of Education. The demographic data is for 2000. The variables used from School District Demographics were

- Total population of the school district,
- Percentage of persons employed in Management, professional, and related occupations,
- Percentage of persons employed in professional occupations of Education, training, and library,
- Percentage of persons employed in professional occupations of Healthcare practitioners, i.e. doctors and nurses,
- Median household income,
- Percentage of persons with a Master's degree, Professional degree, or PhD.,
- Percentage of black and Hispanic population (not significant),
- Percentage of persons 18 to 24 (proxy for college town),
- Value of owner-occupied housing (this is a proxy for wealth),

The second dataset is the Common Core of Data (CCD) from NCES. The CCD survey annually collects data about all public elementary and secondary schools and school districts throughout the United States. The variables used from CCD were

- Grades included in the district,
- Number of students enrolled,
- Locale codes. Locale codes are used to differentiate between central city, suburban, and rural districts. Locale codes also differentiate between schools in rural areas of urban counties (for example, fringe areas of large cities) and rural areas that are more isolated. Dresden has a locale code of 6, Small town: An incorporated place with population between 2,500 and 25,000 located outside a major metropolitan area. For the comparison of Dresden, data was also used for locale code 7: Rural district outside a metropolitan county. More information on Locale Codes is available from Supplemental Notes for the Condition of Education, <http://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/2003/notes/n01.asp> )
- School district type code. Dresden is defined as a component school district, that is, "a component of a supervisory union sharing a superintendent and administrative services with other local school districts."

The third data set is the 2001-2002 Census of Governments, collected by the Census Bureau from 2001 Annual Survey of Local Governments. This data set includes public elementary-secondary education finance data, such as revenues, expenditures, debt, and assets (cash and security holdings)

for all elementary and secondary public school systems. The variables collected from the Census of Governments are

- Per Pupil Expenditures (calculated by dividing the total expenditures by the number of students),
- Student enrollment,
- Revenue dependence on state or local sources. In terms of comparisons, this does not turn out to be significant. In fact, residents who live in states dependent on local property collections receive larger tax deductions from their federal taxes than residents of states where school aid comes from a state's General Fund.

### *Comparison of Variables using rankings*

**Part I compares Dresden to comparable K-12 districts based on social and demographic characteristics.** I have combined data from Dresden, Hanover, and Norwich to create a K-12 district, entitled Consolidated Dresden. There are 10,334 K-12 districts recognized by NCES. Note Consolidated Dresden will differ from data reported for Dresden in the NCES reports.

Part 1 includes 10,334 districts. To compare variables, a ranking system was created. The 10,334 districts were ranked from highest to lowest for each major variable. Twenty groups of equal size were constructed. These twenty groups divided districts into ventiles. A ventile is 1/20<sup>th</sup> of the total. Each ventile included 517 districts (10,334 divided by 20). For all the major social and economic variables, Dresden ranked in the top two ventiles, so only 5 ventiles were used for the household income, percentage of persons with Master's degree or higher, percentage of persons in professional and management occupations, and median value of owner-occupied housing. In other words, for demographic and economic major variables, Dresden ranks in the top 5% or 10% of all K-12 districts in the U.S.

However, for Per Pupil Expenditures, Consolidated Dresden ranks in the 4<sup>th</sup> ventile. In other words, out of 10,334 districts, about 1,500 districts spend more per capita than does Dresden. Ranking all K-12 districts in the US, Dresden is in the bottom of the top fifth.

With a ranking system, I was able to divide districts into comparable groups. These new groups were used to compare Dresden Consolidated with comparable districts. The major variables that were used for this comparison and Dresden's position relative to other districts are:

Educational Attainment of a Master's degree or higher: 43%, ventile 1 (top 5%)

Median Household income: \$70,877, ventile 1 (top 5%)

Percentage of persons in professional and management occupations: 67%, ventile 1 (top 5%)

Locale code: 6 or 7 (small town in rural area or rural area)

Median value of owner-occupied housing (this is a proxy for wealth): \$252,497, ventile 1 (top 5%)

Per Pupil Expenditures: \$11,101, ventile 4 (top fifth).

**Part II, including Tables 3 and 4 uses data only for secondary districts.** There are only 93 6<sup>th</sup> grade or 7<sup>th</sup> grade through 12<sup>th</sup> grade districts in the U.S. In this section, districts were divided into five groups (quintiles) with rankings from 1 to 5. Dresden was the only district always in the top quintile. Most of the secondary districts are in New England with one quarter being in Vermont and New Hampshire. Tables 3 and 4 compare only secondary districts. Table Four has data only for secondary districts that are also component districts. Note, the rankings for tables 3 and 4 are not comparable to those in Tables 1 and 2.

## Data Analysis

### ***Part I: Comparison of Dresden Interstate K-12 District with 10,334 K-12 Districts throughout the United States. These tables include the five schools which comprise the Dresden Interstate District.***

Table 1 compares Dresden based on its unique status as a small school district in a community with a high percentage of college-aged students, a high percentage of persons with graduate or professional degrees, and a high percentage of persons employed in education. In other words, Table 1 shows comparable school districts in college towns in rural areas. This table identifies Dresden's peers based on with high Educational Attainment, a high proportion of persons 18 to 24, and a high percentage of people working in education, and comparable population size and K-12 enrollment to Dresden.

However, on other important variables, most of the districts are not comparable to Dresden. Dresden is in the top ventile for persons in professional and management occupations. Also, Dresden has a higher median household income than all but two of the districts (Three Village Central, on Long Island, NY and Princeton). This is important since income is the best predictor of educational outcomes; that is, children in high income families with parents with high educational attainment are more likely to succeed in school. In addition, the housing values are considerably higher in Dresden indicating higher wealth than other districts in Table 1.

Table 2 compares K-12 districts based on a ranking household income, persons with advanced degrees, persons with management or professional occupations, median value of owner occupied housing, population size, and enrollment similar Dresden. All the districts on Table 2 rank in the top 5% of all K-12 districts in the US based on the percentage of persons employed in Management or Professional occupations, percentage of persons with Graduate or Professional degrees, and for household wealth (as defined by the value of their homes). There are 205 districts which share these elite characteristics. Per Pupil expenditures were then sorted by rank order. Dresden spends much less pupil than most of the other districts on this elite list.

On these key indicators, the school districts that are most comparable to Dresden are classified as suburban communities. Dresden is the only district on this list that really rural.

### ***Part 2: Comparison of Dresden with grade 6/7to 12 secondary districts***

Tables 3 and 4 narrow the search criteria to only those secondary school districts that include either grades 6 to 12 or 7 to 12. For these districts, the percentage of revenue from local property taxes has been included. Table 3 includes school districts in rural counties. *These tables do not include information for the two elementary districts of Hanover and Norwich.*

Table 3 ranks secondary districts first by the percentage of persons with a Graduate or Professional degree, then by the percentage of persons working in professional or managerial occupations, then by the size of the district. Compared to only secondary districts, Dresden has significantly higher percentage of persons with advanced degrees who work in professional or managerial occupations, higher median household income, and the higher wealth as reflected in the housing values. Parents in Dresden are likely to have higher expectations for student achievement. Nine school districts are somewhat similar: Regional High School in CT., Mount Greylock (home of Williams College), Frontier in Mass. (close to universities in Amherst), Middlebury UHSD (Middlebury College), Maranacook CSD (ME), Hampshire (MASS), UHSD32 (Monpelier), and Harwood UHSD19 (Duxbury, VT).

On pages 3 and 4 of Table 3 are the Per Pupil Expenditures and their ranking. Compared to other 6/7 through 12<sup>th</sup> grade schools, Dresden ranks in the 3<sup>rd</sup> fifth (right in the middle).

Table 4 compares Dresden by the administrative structure of the district. Table 4 began with all component districts in the U.S. The original list was refined to include only grades 6 or 7 to 12 and similar population size. Because the edited list includes only 25 districts, no rankings were necessary. Table 4 shows smaller secondary school districts that share administrative services with other districts. While Dresden has a comparable administrative structure, again, households have higher median income, greater educational attainment, and a higher percentage of the people working in professional or other managerial positions than the other districts.

### ***Final Thoughts***

Dresden's comparable districts are those districts shown in Table 2. These are the districts in which parents are likely to have aspirations for their children similar to those of parents in Dresden. Out of the 205 elite districts – where we would expect students to be competing for spaces in the nation's top colleges and later for positions in the nation's top firms, Dresden is the only district in a rural area. Every other district is a suburban district. Dresden also receives great value for its per pupil expenditures. Half of the districts on this list are in the top 5% of per pupil expenditures while Dresden ranks in the bottom of the top half.

Each table can inform your search for comparable districts.

Table 1 includes the most obvious comparable districts: college towns outside of large urban areas.

Table 2 uses the preferred methodology for comparable districts: educational attainment, managerial and professional occupations, household income, size of the district, size of the district, and household wealth using housing values as a proxy.

Table 3 limits the search to secondary districts with a grade 6 or 7 to 12 configuration. This grouping is unique in that most of these districts receive most of their funds from local sources. The secondary districts that are also classified as rural are shown in Table 3.

Table 4 shows the results of a narrow search for comparability. It includes only component districts in rural areas comparable by size regardless of social and demographic characteristics.

6 July 2004

**Written by Bettie Landauer-Menchik**  
**Director, Data Services Unit of K-12 Outreach**  
**College of Education, Michigan State University**  
**menchikb@msu.edu**

Table 1: College Towns with High Concentrations of People with Graduate Degrees and Persons employed in Education

Sort 1: MS ventile, Percentage of persons in education in descending order, and percentage of 18-24 year olds in descending order

schoolname	City	State	MS ventile	MS	Employed in Education	Ages 18 to 24	Median_Household Income	Ventile Income	K12_Students	Medical	Management	Management ventile	Median_Value_of_occupied_housing	Hse value ventile	NCES_Location	Per Pupli Expenditure	Ventile for PP Exp.	Total_Population
WEST LAFAYETTE COMMUNITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Purdue	IN	39%	1	22%	55%	\$25,769		1,988	5%	51%	1	\$141,900	3	4	\$9,770	6	26,700
Dartmouth Consolidated District	Dartmouth	NH	43%	1	21%	30%	\$70,877	1	2,047	12%	67%	1	\$252,497	1	6	\$11,101	9	14,395
ITHACA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Cornell	NY	35%	1	21%	36%	\$33,055		5,752	3%	56%	1	\$118,900	3	5	\$12,417	3	62,220
PULLMAN	Washington	WA	32%	1	20%	48%	\$22,971		2,264	4%	50%	1	\$145,700	3	6	\$7,341	3	26,810
URBANA SCHOOL DISTRICT 116	U of Illinois	IL	28%	1	19%	32%	\$69,593		4,659	4%	48%	1	\$89,500	3	2	\$12,457	3	46,425
CLAREMONT UNIFIED	Claremont	CA	26%	1	19%	17%	\$62,379	2	6,847	4%	55%	1	\$246,200	1	3	\$7,515	3	39,480
STATE COLLEGE AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT	Penn State	PA	31%	1	18%	41%	\$34,785		7,447	3%	51%	1	\$146,100	3	4	\$9,285	7	79,600
ATHENS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Miami of OH	OH	24%	1	18%	47%	\$24,058		2,950	3%	43%	2	\$102,700	3	7	\$12,236	3	32,665
OVERLIN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Oberlin	OH	19%	1	17%	30%	\$44,492		1,123	3%	41%	3	\$127,100	3	3	\$9,638	6	10,525
POTSDAM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	SUNY	NY	21%	1	16%	38%	\$31,066		1,534	5%	36%	4	\$71,900	3	6	\$10,740	4	14,910
DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED	UC Davis	CA	37%	1	16%	32%	\$42,624		8,760	6%	61%	1	\$246,200	1	2	\$7,947	4	68,160
COLLEGE STATION INDEPENDENT SCH	Texas A and TX	TX	29%	1	16%	48%	\$22,706		7,439	5%	47%	2	\$124,200	2	2	\$7,491	7	73,705
STARKVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT	Mississippi	MS	22%	1	16%	34%	\$24,907		3,879	5%	45%	2	\$97,600	2	6	\$7,437	7	31,485
HOUGHTON-PORTAGE TOWNSHIP SCH	Michigan	MI	20%	1	15%	45%	\$25,666		1,279	4%	42%	2	\$83,600	2	6	\$7,679	7	9,715
AUBURN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Auburn	AL	29%	1	15%	45%	\$17,206		4,431	5%	46%	2	\$136,700	2	5	\$3,687	7	42,895
PRINCETON REGIONAL	Princeton	NJ	45%	1	15%	22%	\$81,785	1	3,245	4%	68%	1	\$391,400	1	3	\$13,553	2	30,230
AMES COMMUNITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	U of Iowa	IA	28%	1	15%	40%	\$35,722		4,659	4%	47%	2	\$129,600	2	5	\$9,303	7	50,525
MACOMB COMMUNITY UNIT SCHOOL D	Western Illil	IL	20%	1	15%	38%	\$29,446		1,996	4%	37%	4	\$72,400	2	6	\$8,193	7	21,780
CAMBRIDGE	Harvard, MA	MA	38%	1	15%	21%	\$47,979	5	7,044	4%	67%	1	\$398,500	1	2	\$18,278	1	101,355
CANTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	SUNY	NY	17%	1	15%	29%	\$37,551		1,556	4%	41%	2	\$68,700	2	6	\$11,096	4	10,990
NORTHAMPTON	Wellesley	MA	25%	1	15%	15%	\$41,808		2,952	7%	50%	1	\$144,600	3	2	\$13,118	2	28,980
THREE VILLAGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DIS	EAST SETA	NY	30%	1	15%	19%	\$90,257	1	7,774	9%	53%	1	\$245,000	1	3	\$14,703	1	45,520
NEW PALTZ CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	SUNY	NY	20%	1	14%	24%	\$45,288	5	2,344	5%	41%	3	\$137,900	2	6	\$12,137	3	18,180
CHAMPAIGN COMMUNITY UNIT SCHOOL	U of Illinois	IL	24%	1	14%	27%	\$37,326		9,289	5%	47%	2	\$100,000	2	2	\$7,846	2	80,610
CHAPEL HILL-CARRBORO SCHOOLS	UNC	NC	38%	1	14%	29%	\$40,222		9,996	9%	56%	1	\$221,100	1	2	\$9,938	5	75,565
OKEMOS PUBLIC SCHOOLS	Mich. State	MI	36%	1	14%	14%	\$63,974	2	4,216	6%	59%	1	\$176,100	2	4	\$9,321	7	23,390
MOSCOW SCHOOL DISTRICT 281	U of Idaho	ID	24%	1	14%	31%	\$30,244		2,554	3%	42%	2	\$135,800	2	6	\$7,643	2	25,105
GENESEO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	SUNY	NY	22%	1	14%	48%	\$41,179		983	4%	39%	3	\$111,500	3	8	\$12,833	2	10,595
EAST LANSING SCHOOL DISTRICT	Mich State	MI	37%	1	14%	54%	\$30,209		3,734	4%	45%	2	\$143,900	3	2	\$10,860	4	52,390
STILLWATER	Oklahoma	OK	22%	1	14%	35%	\$27,305		5,175	3%	41%	2	\$98,400	2	5	\$6,581	5	44,475
MONTGOMERY COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOL	Virginia Pol	VA	19%	1	14%	31%	\$32,330		9,117	4%	40%	3	\$114,600	5	5	\$8,323	5	83,630
HAMILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	HAMILTON	NY	23%	1	21%	38%	\$41,269		771	6%	48%	1	\$95,800	4	4	\$10,224	5	6,245
ALFRED-ALMOND CENTRAL SCHOOL D	ALMOND	NY	26%	1	16%	49%	\$36,509		705	3%	38%	4	\$77,000	7	7	\$10,305	5	7,295
ORONO	ORONO	ME	28%	1	15%	47%	\$30,619		684	4%	43%	2	\$108,300	4	4	\$9,822	6	9,110
YELLOW SPRINGS EX VILL SCHOOL DIS	YELLOW S	OH	31%	1	18%	12%	\$53,556	3	673	7%	58%	1	\$153,100	3	4	\$8,736	8	4,565
LEXINGTON CITY PUBLIC SCHOOLS	LEXINGTO	VA	22%	1	16%	42%	\$28,982		458	5%	46%	2	\$131,900	2	6	\$10,004	5	6,865

**Table 2: School Districts in the top ventile for Household Income, High Educational Attainment, High Percentage in Management Enrollment between 1,000 and 10,000 Students, sorted by Per Pupil Expenditure**

sdname	State	Median_Ho usehold_In come	Ventile Income	MS ventile	Mangem ent	Mange ment ventile	Median_Val ue_of_owne r_occupied_ housing_ ventile	Hse value ventile	ExpPP	Ventile for exp.	NCES_ Locatio n	K12_Stu dents	Total_Popul ation
NORTH ANDOVER	MA	\$72,728	1	21%	1	52%	1	\$316,500	\$8,406	9	3	4286	27,200
READING	MA	\$77,059	1	20%	1	53%	1	\$271,600	\$8,556	9	3	4285	23,710
SHARON	MA	\$89,256	1	32%	1	63%	1	\$270,600	\$8,591	9	3	3512	17,410
DUXBURY	MA	\$97,124	1	23%	1	56%	1	\$345,900	\$8,423	9	8	3193	14,250
CHELMSFORD	MA	\$70,207	1	18%	1	53%	1	\$213,900	\$8,827	8	3	5662	33,860
HUDSON LOCAL SCHOOL DIST	OH	\$95,248	1	25%	1	57%	1	\$234,400	\$8,759	8	3	5528	23,660
MILTON	MA	\$78,985	1	22%	1	57%	1	\$285,800	\$9,012	8	3	3731	26,060
MADISON SCHOOL DISTRICT	CT	\$87,497	1	26%	1	54%	1	\$259,600	\$8,733	8	8	3571	17,860
PIEDMONT CITY UNIFIED	CA	\$134,270	1	41%	1	71%	1	\$760,000	\$8,820	8	3	2545	10,950
ASHLAND	MA	\$68,392	1	19%	1	48%	1	\$215,100	\$8,932	8	3	2530	14,675
NORWELL	MA	\$87,397	1	20%	1	52%	1	\$321,800	\$9,001	8	8	1998	9,765
NEWTOWN SCHOOL DISTRICT	CT	\$90,193	1	19%	1	53%	1	\$260,900	\$9,050	7	8	5018	25,030
MONROE SCHOOL DISTRICT	CT	\$85,000	1	16%	1	51%	1	\$256,600	\$9,280	7	3	4090	19,245
BELMONT	MA	\$80,295	1	37%	1	68%	1	\$450,000	\$9,238	7	3	3574	24,195
EAST GREENWICH SCHOOL D	RI	\$70,063	1	25%	1	58%	1	\$244,900	\$9,025	7	4	2440	12,950
SWAMPSCOTT	MA	\$71,089	1	21%	1	52%	1	\$279,900	\$9,123	7	3	2367	14,410
LITTLETON	MA	\$71,384	1	18%	1	56%	1	\$243,400	\$9,175	7	3	1476	8,185
WAYZATA	MN	\$78,574	1	16%	1	53%	1	\$212,900	\$9,884	6	3	9441	53,650
MINNETONKA	MN	\$83,024	1	17%	1	51%	1	\$214,300	\$9,759	6	3	7677	41,030
GLASTONBURY SCHOOL DIST	CT	\$80,660	1	24%	1	57%	1	\$218,900	\$9,878	6	4	6336	31,875
TRUMBULL SCHOOL DISTRIC	CT	\$79,507	1	19%	1	51%	1	\$260,400	\$9,805	6	3	6326	34,245
MANHATTAN BEACH UNIFIED	CA	\$100,750	1	29%	1	64%	1	\$672,600	\$9,686	6	3	6319	34,040
ANDOVER	MA	\$87,683	1	30%	1	64%	1	\$344,900	\$9,590	6	3	5848	31,245
SIMSBURY SCHOOL DISTRICT	CT	\$82,996	1	26%	1	59%	1	\$229,300	\$9,487	6	4	5016	23,235
WESTFORD	MA	\$98,272	1	25%	1	60%	1	\$278,500	\$9,733	6	3	4685	20,755
NATICK	MA	\$69,755	1	23%	1	56%	1	\$247,800	\$9,765	6	3	4398	32,170
MOUNTAIN BROOK CITY SCH	AL	\$100,483	1	34%	1	66%	1	\$336,300	\$9,733	6	3	3996	20,985
WINGHESTER	MA	\$94,049	1	33%	1	66%	1	\$421,800	\$9,520	6	3	3419	20,810
HINGHAM	MA	\$83,018	1	23%	1	57%	1	\$351,400	\$9,741	6	3	3411	19,880
GROSSE ILE TOWNSHIP SCH	MI	\$87,062	1	19%	1	54%	1	\$248,800	\$9,750	6	3	2082	10,895
WYOMING CITY SCHOOL DIST	OH	\$87,049	1	33%	1	66%	1	\$246,900	\$9,864	6	3	1933	8,085
COHASSET	MA	\$84,156	1	21%	1	57%	1	\$389,500	\$9,615	6	3	1365	7,260
OTTAWA HILLS LOCAL SCHOC	OH	\$100,297	1	39%	1	68%	1	\$254,000	\$9,702	6	3	1020	4,705
GROSSE POINTE PUBLIC SCH	MI	\$79,199	1	25%	1	60%	1	\$262,300	\$10,110	5	3	8757	53,335
EDINA	MN	\$73,903	1	24%	1	56%	1	\$250,000	\$9,925	5	3	7019	39,250
CHESHIRE SCHOOL DISTRICT	CT	\$80,466	1	21%	1	55%	1	\$212,000	\$10,115	5	3	5038	28,465
LEWIS-PALMER 38	CO	\$83,389	1	22%	1	50%	1	\$264,200	\$9,934	5	3	4889	19,605
LAKE TRAVIS INDEPENDENT S	TX	\$79,610	1	16%	1	51%	1	\$229,900	\$10,326	5	1	4376	22,130
MEQUON-THIENSVILLE	WI	\$83,926	1	21%	1	57%	1	\$238,200	\$10,120	5	3	4140	25,195
GUILFORD SCHOOL DISTRICT	CT	\$76,843	1	25%	1	53%	1	\$230,000	\$9,889	5	8	3916	21,400

Source: CCD Census of Governments, School District Demographics from NCES; analysis by Bettie Landauer-Menchik, Director of Data Services Unit of K-12 Outreach, Michigan State University

**Table 2: School Districts in the top ventile for Household Income, High Educational Attainment, High Percentage in Management Enrollment between 1,000 and 10,000 Students, sorted by Per Pupil Expenditure**

sname	State	Median_Household_Income	Ventile Income	MS ventile	Mangement	Management ventile	Median_Value_of_occupied_housing_ventile	Hse value ventile	ExpPP	Ventile for exp.	NCES Location	K12_Students	Total_Population
LOSALAMOS PUBLIC SCHOO	NIM	\$78,993	1	36%	1	68%	\$228,300	1	\$9,936	5	4	3576	18,345
HOLMDEL TOWNSHIP	NJ	\$112,879	1	28%	1	64%	\$404,200	1	\$10,040	5	8	3440	15,780
NASHOBA	MA	\$82,904	1	21%	1	59%	\$260,200	1	\$10,117	5	8	3014	17,430
CORONADO UNIFIED	CA	\$66,544	1	23%	1	56%	\$683,400	1	\$10,302	5	2	2861	24,225
HAMILTON-WENHAM	MA	\$75,303	1	20%	1	55%	\$299,800	1	\$10,024	5	8	2208	12,755
CHAGRIN FALLS EX VILL SCH	OH	\$79,563	1	27%	1	61%	\$266,200	1	\$10,178	5	3	1900	10,540
MASCONOMET	MA	\$96,140	1	20%	1	53%	\$344,000	1	\$10,361	5	3	1849	21,805
LYNNFIELD	MA	\$80,626	1	21%	1	54%	\$328,000	1	\$10,346	5	3	1831	11,540
GLEN RIDGE BOROUGH	NJ	\$105,638	1	31%	1	62%	\$264,700	1	\$10,082	5	3	1663	7,270
Dresden Consolidated District	NH	\$70,877	1	43%	1	67%	\$252,497	1	\$11,101	4	6	2,047	14,395
BRIDGEWATER-RARITAN REG	NJ	\$83,308	1	20%	1	52%	\$256,600	1	\$11,145	4	3	8017	49,220
UPPER ARLINGTON CITY SCH	OH	\$71,785	1	30%	1	64%	\$214,400	1	\$10,566	4	3	5610	34,060
SALINE AREA SCHOOLS	MI	\$80,299	1	21%	1	49%	\$215,900	1	\$10,835	4	3	5184	21,780
RIDGEFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT	CT	\$107,351	1	27%	1	65%	\$439,000	1	\$10,924	4	8	5088	23,645
GENEVA COMMUNITY UNIT SCH	IL	\$79,135	1	19%	1	50%	\$219,600	1	\$11,163	4	3	5071	22,485
SOLO CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	OH	\$77,633	1	21%	1	53%	\$216,900	1	\$10,593	4	3	5022	21,810
UPPER DUBLIN SCHOOL DIST	PA	\$80,093	1	26%	1	58%	\$224,100	1	\$10,682	4	3	4444	25,880
BERNARDS TOWNSHIP	NJ	\$107,204	1	31%	1	64%	\$380,500	1	\$11,273	4	3	4406	24,575
WILTON SCHOOL DISTRICT	CT	\$141,428	1	33%	1	66%	\$561,100	1	\$10,774	4	3	4030	17,635
MILLBURN TOWNSHIP	NJ	\$130,848	1	38%	1	65%	\$549,000	1	\$11,101	4	3	3986	19,765
SPARTA TOWNSHIP	NJ	\$89,835	1	18%	1	50%	\$222,700	1	\$10,816	4	3	3593	18,105
BROOKFIELD SCHOOL DISTR	CT	\$82,706	1	18%	1	50%	\$248,700	1	\$10,599	4	3	3058	15,665
AVON SCHOOL DISTRICT	CT	\$90,934	1	28%	1	62%	\$252,000	1	\$10,597	4	4	2991	15,830
CANTON	MA	\$69,260	1	17%	1	49%	\$266,500	1	\$10,760	4	3	2791	20,775
WESTWOOD	MA	\$87,394	1	24%	1	59%	\$360,600	1	\$10,713	4	3	2597	14,115
HADDONFIELD BOROUGH	NJ	\$86,872	1	29%	1	68%	\$225,300	1	\$11,313	4	3	2216	11,660
KINNELON BOROUGH	NJ	\$105,991	1	25%	1	59%	\$354,000	1	\$10,971	4	3	2018	9,365
HARVARD	MA	\$107,934	1	36%	1	74%	\$368,700	1	\$10,712	4	8	1182	5,980
EAST BRUNSWICK TOWNSHIP	NJ	\$75,956	1	20%	1	50%	\$212,800	1	\$12,161	3	3	8497	46,755
FAIRFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT	CT	\$83,512	1	23%	1	54%	\$325,900	1	\$11,560	3	3	8284	57,340
SOUTH ORANGE-MAPLEWOOD	NJ	\$80,724	1	25%	1	53%	\$239,500	1	\$11,693	3	3	6257	40,830
MONTCLAIR TOWN	NJ	\$74,894	1	27%	1	58%	\$317,500	1	\$12,033	3	3	6110	39,070
TREDFRFRIN-EASTTOWN SCH	PA	\$85,622	1	29%	1	64%	\$280,600	1	\$11,443	3	3	5539	39,330
WESTFIELD TOWN	NJ	\$98,390	1	30%	1	60%	\$346,000	1	\$12,227	3	3	5379	29,645
RANDOLPH TOWNSHIP	NJ	\$97,589	1	26%	1	59%	\$329,800	1	\$11,522	3	3	5102	24,845
SCOTCH PLAINS-FANWOOD F	NJ	\$82,168	1	20%	1	55%	\$243,500	1	\$11,319	3	3	4640	29,905
NEEDHAM	MA	\$88,079	1	34%	1	64%	\$385,600	1	\$11,485	3	3	4498	28,910
MONTGOMERY TOWNSHIP	NJ	\$118,850	1	35%	1	70%	\$348,500	1	\$11,932	3	8	3996	17,465
DARIEN SCHOOL DISTRICT	CT	\$146,755	1	30%	1	60%	\$711,000	1	\$11,599	3	3	3944	19,605
NEW CANAAN SCHOOL DISTF	CT	\$141,788	1	33%	1	63%	\$831,000	1	\$11,710	3	3	3886	19,395

Source: CCD Census of Governments, School District Demographics from NCES; analysis by Bettie Landauer-Menchik, Director of Data Services Unit of K-12 Outreach, Michigan State University

**Table 2: School Districts in the top ventile for Household Income, High Educational Attainment, High Percentage in Management Enrollment between 1,000 and 10,000 Students, sorted by Per Pupil Expenditure**

sdname	State	Median_Ho usehold_In come	Ventile Income	MS ventile	Mangem ent ventile	Management ventile	Median_Val ue_of_owne r_occupied_ housing	Hse value ventile	ExpPP	Ventile for exp.	NCES Locatio n	K12_Stu dents	Total_Popul ation
WELLESLEY	MA	\$113,686	1	41%	1	67%	\$548,100	1	\$12,279	3	3	3884	26,615
UNIONVILLE-CHADDS FORD S	PA	\$96,390	1	27%	1	57%	\$281,700	1	\$12,147	3	8	3838	21,495
MONTVILLE TOWNSHIP	NJ	\$94,557	1	20%	1	54%	\$346,600	1	\$11,963	3	3	3533	20,840
SUMMIT CITY	NJ	\$92,964	1	30%	1	59%	\$469,200	1	\$11,822	3	3	3290	21,130
HARBORFIELDS CENTRAL SC	NY	\$79,959	1	20%	1	50%	\$290,900	1	\$11,931	3	3	3266	18,310
SAN MARINO UNIFIED	CA	\$111,503	1	32%	1	66%	\$663,000	1	\$11,597	3	3	3151	14,645
WAYLAND	MA	\$101,036	1	38%	1	65%	\$391,100	1	\$11,415	3	3	2924	13,100
RAMSEY BOROUGH	NJ	\$88,187	1	20%	1	54%	\$329,700	1	\$12,184	3	3	2756	14,350
CALDWELL-WEST CALDWELL	NJ	\$73,484	1	18%	1	51%	\$254,600	1	\$11,893	3	3	2494	18,815
CARMEL UNIFIED	CA	\$66,480	1	23%	1	53%	\$625,200	1	\$12,088	3	4	2289	23,795
INDIAN HILL EX VILL SCHOOL	OH	\$84,897	1	26%	1	60%	\$317,100	1	\$11,980	3	3	2250	13,740
GLEN ROCK BOROUGH	NJ	\$104,192	1	24%	1	63%	\$316,900	1	\$12,310	3	3	2205	11,545
NEW PROVIDENCE BOROUGH	NJ	\$90,964	1	28%	1	60%	\$317,100	1	\$12,307	3	3	2040	11,905
VERONA BOROUGH	NJ	\$74,619	1	18%	1	51%	\$237,900	1	\$12,135	3	3	1857	13,535
LOWER MORELAND TOWNSH	PA	\$82,597	1	21%	1	54%	\$233,600	1	\$11,506	3	3	1639	11,280
CRESSKILL BOROUGH	NJ	\$84,692	1	18%	1	54%	\$281,100	1	\$12,348	3	3	1355	7,745
LINCOLNWOOD SCHOOL DIST	IL	\$71,234	1	21%	1	51%	\$291,400	1	\$11,967	3	3	1353	12,360
PALO ALTO UNIFIED	CA	\$87,549	1	45%	1	76%	\$847,000	1	\$13,411	2	2	9921	74,995
CLARKSTOWN CENTRAL SCH	NY	\$89,713	1	22%	1	52%	\$268,200	1	\$12,718	2	3	8847	48,830
GREENWICH SCHOOL DISTRI	CT	\$99,086	1	27%	1	56%	\$781,500	1	\$13,377	2	3	8800	61,100
BARRINGTON COMMUNITY U	IL	\$103,884	1	23%	1	54%	\$363,900	1	\$12,516	2	3	8490	42,305
HALF HOLLOW HILLS CENTRA	NY	\$94,339	1	23%	1	49%	\$357,700	1	\$14,233	2	3	8305	43,680
WINDSOR-PLAINSBO RO	NJ	\$88,929	1	35%	1	70%	\$303,700	1	\$13,682	2	8	8233	42,120
EANES INDEPENDENT SCHOO	TX	\$98,704	1	33%	1	67%	\$319,900	1	\$14,419	2	3	7260	32,530
WEST BLOOMFIELD SCHOOL	MI	\$88,178	1	26%	1	55%	\$250,100	1	\$12,871	2	3	6370	33,800
NORTHPORT-EAST NORTHPO	NY	\$80,379	1	20%	1	50%	\$264,700	1	\$14,077	2	3	6086	36,660
BROOKLINE	MA	\$66,711	1	45%	1	72%	\$599,500	1	\$13,543	2	3	5960	57,060
NOVI COMMUNITY SCHOOL D	MI	\$80,033	1	20%	1	54%	\$218,500	1	\$14,345	2	3	5915	29,925
NORTHVILLE PUBLIC SCHOO	MI	\$88,462	1	23%	1	59%	\$281,400	1	\$12,808	2	3	5484	32,125
RIDGEWOOD VILLAGE	NJ	\$104,286	1	29%	1	62%	\$387,200	1	\$13,860	2	3	5201	24,935
WESTPORT SCHOOL DISTRIC	CT	\$119,872	1	33%	1	65%	\$625,800	1	\$14,032	2	3	5007	25,750
LIVINGSTON TOWNSHIP	NJ	\$98,869	1	27%	1	56%	\$290,200	1	\$13,346	2	3	4779	27,390
FAIR LAWN BOROUGH	NJ	\$72,127	1	18%	1	48%	\$218,000	1	\$12,578	2	3	4517	31,635
WISSAHICKON SCHOOL DIST	PA	\$74,537	1	21%	1	53%	\$227,000	1	\$12,982	2	3	4455	35,550
YORKTOWN CENTRAL SCHOO	NY	\$91,247	1	23%	1	51%	\$262,600	1	\$12,790	2	3	4121	21,115
HERRICKS UNION FREE SCH	NY	\$92,322	1	24%	1	53%	\$347,700	1	\$14,419	2	3	3844	24,135
MOORESTOWN TOWNSHIP	NJ	\$78,826	1	23%	1	56%	\$254,900	1	\$13,221	2	3	3730	19,015
GARNET VALLEY SCHOOL DIS	PA	\$81,793	1	18%	1	53%	\$226,200	1	\$13,917	2	8	3664	18,880
HOPEWELL VALLEY REGIONA	NJ	\$91,569	1	29%	1	61%	\$253,400	1	\$12,945	2	3	3404	20,835
PRINCETON REGIONAL	NJ	\$81,785	1	45%	1	68%	\$391,400	1	\$13,553	2	3	3245	30,230

Source: CCD Census of Governments, School District Demographics from NCES; analysis by Bettie Landauer-Menchik, Director of Data Services Unit of K-12 Outreach, Michigan State University

**Table 2: School Districts in the top ventile for Household Income, High Educational Attainment, High Percentage in Management Enrollment between 1,000 and 10,000 Students, sorted by Per Pupil Expenditure**

sdname	State	Median_Ho usehold_In come	Ventile Income	MS ventile	Mangem ent	Mange ment ventile	Median_Val ue_of_owne r_housing_ ventile	Hse value ventile	ExpPPP	Ventile for exp.	NCES_ Locatio n	K12_Stu dents	Total_Popul ation
LADUE SCHOOL DISTRICT	MO	\$82,889	1	31%	64%	1	\$327,800	1	\$12,515	2	3	3230	27,060
HOPKINTON	MA	\$89,281	1	22%	59%	1	\$314,500	1	\$14,643	2	8	2979	13,345
SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CH	NJ	\$104,260	1	30%	63%	1	\$405,500	1	\$13,765	2	3	2873	18,545
MARBLEHEAD	MA	\$73,968	1	26%	57%	1	\$340,700	1	\$13,327	2	3	2853	20,375
TENAFLY BOROUGH	NJ	\$90,931	1	33%	58%	1	\$403,600	1	\$13,466	2	3	2818	13,805
SHOREHAM-WADING RIVER C	NY	\$75,486	1	22%	48%	1	\$227,500	1	\$13,169	2	3	2613	11,565
BERKELEY HEIGHTS TOWNSH	NJ	\$107,716	1	23%	57%	1	\$324,900	1	\$12,525	2	3	2587	13,405
PELHAM UNION FREE SCHOC	NY	\$91,810	1	30%	58%	1	\$422,600	1	\$13,356	2	3	2432	11,865
WESTON SCHOOL DISTRICT	CT	\$146,697	1	34%	66%	1	\$633,900	1	\$13,221	2	8	2367	10,035
WESTON	MA	\$153,918	1	45%	69%	1	\$739,200	1	\$13,899	2	3	2254	11,470
BEDFORD	MA	\$87,962	1	27%	64%	1	\$332,200	1	\$12,576	2	3	2186	12,595
MADISON BOROUGH	NJ	\$82,847	1	26%	53%	1	\$362,400	1	\$12,578	2	3	2103	16,530
FALMOUTH	ME	\$66,855	1	21%	51%	1	\$215,500	1	\$14,504	2	8	2073	10,310
COLD SPRING HARBOR CENT	NY	\$159,721	1	36%	62%	1	\$804,100	1	\$14,367	2	3	1999	8,460
SPRINGFIELD TOWNSHIP	NJ	\$73,790	1	17%	50%	1	\$250,500	1	\$12,605	2	3	1859	14,430
FALLS CHURCH CITY PUBLIC	VA	\$74,924	1	34%	65%	1	\$277,100	1	\$12,988	2	3	1763	10,375
SOMERSET HILLS REGIONAL	NJ	\$103,564	1	23%	53%	1	\$420,300	1	\$13,133	2	3	1687	10,635
PLEASANTVILLE UNION FREE	NY	\$92,155	1	30%	57%	1	\$343,200	1	\$13,794	2	3	1673	8,040
LEONIA BOROUGH	NJ	\$72,440	1	20%	51%	1	\$282,500	1	\$12,826	2	3	1611	8,915
HASTINGS-ON-HUDSON UNIO	NY	\$85,919	1	39%	68%	1	\$406,200	1	\$13,732	2	3	1602	8,360
CROTON-HARMON UNION FR	NY	\$89,083	1	32%	58%	1	\$280,500	1	\$14,612	2	3	1444	8,585
RYE NECK UNION FREE SCHC	NY	\$79,435	1	22%	49%	1	\$379,000	1	\$13,758	2	3	1361	8,410
NEW HOPE-SOLEBURY SCHC	PA	\$82,139	1	25%	55%	1	\$304,400	1	\$12,613	2	8	1262	9,995
THREE VILLAGE CENTRAL SC	NY	\$90,257	1	30%	53%	1	\$245,000	1	\$14,703	1	3	7774	45,520
BIRMINGHAM CITY SCHOOL D	MI	\$92,542	1	30%	63%	1	\$305,000	1	\$18,754	1	3	7722	56,320
CARROLL INDEPENDENT SCH	TX	\$129,119	1	18%	55%	1	\$323,300	1	\$16,079	1	3	6995	23,200
LOWER MERION SCHOOL DIS	PA	\$83,653	1	37%	66%	1	\$325,700	1	\$17,592	1	3	6467	64,085
SYOSSET CENTRAL SCHOOL	NY	\$103,394	1	26%	54%	1	\$371,000	1	\$15,807	1	3	6313	33,770
LEXINGTON	MA	\$96,825	1	42%	70%	1	\$417,400	1	\$15,934	1	3	6010	30,355
GREAT NECK UNION FREE SC	NY	\$84,496	1	32%	57%	1	\$522,900	1	\$20,174	1	3	5987	43,370
HIGHLAND PARK INDEPENDE	TX	\$100,300	1	33%	64%	1	\$592,600	1	\$18,354	1	3	5893	33,450
BLOOMFIELD HILLS SCHOOL	MI	\$114,164	1	34%	66%	1	\$371,600	1	\$14,924	1	3	5861	39,685
BEVERLY HILLS UNIFIED	CA	\$71,005	1	25%	60%	1	\$1,000,001	1	\$16,566	1	3	5253	33,935
MAMARONECK UNION FREE S	NY	\$82,758	1	33%	56%	1	\$540,700	1	\$15,085	1	3	4690	28,365
PLAINVIEW-OLD BETHPAGE C	NY	\$90,660	1	22%	51%	1	\$291,700	1	\$18,458	1	3	4649	28,310
PORT WASHINGTON UNION F	NY	\$86,609	1	27%	54%	1	\$449,600	1	\$17,866	1	3	4564	29,630
RAMAPO CENTRAL SCHOOL	NY	\$69,451	1	17%	48%	1	\$236,600	1	\$15,024	1	3	4401	27,130
MORRIS SCHOOL DISTRICT	NJ	\$79,211	1	23%	52%	1	\$313,600	1	\$16,522	1	3	4355	40,340
SCARSDALE UNION FREE SC	NY	\$184,568	1	50%	72%	1	\$716,300	1	\$18,128	1	3	4354	18,425
KATONAH-LEWISBORO UNIO	NY	\$109,777	1	31%	62%	1	\$407,200	1	\$15,762	1	8	4044	18,170

Source: CCD Census of Governments, School District Demographics from NCES; analysis by Bettie Landauer-Menchik, Director of Data Services Unit of K-12 Outreach, Michigan State University

**Table 2: School Districts in the top ventile for Household Income, High Educational Attainment, High Percentage in Management Enrollment between 1,000 and 10,000 Students, sorted by Per Pupil Expenditure**

sdname	State	Median_Household_Income	Ventile Income	MS ventile	Mangement ventile	Management ventile	Median_Value_of_occupied_housing	Hse value ventile	ExpPP	Ventile for exp.	NCES_Location	K12_Students	Total_Population
CHAPPAQUA CENTRAL SCHOOL	NY	\$167,437	1	47%	1	70%	\$575,700	1	\$15,347	1	3	3959	16,015
BEDFORD CENTRAL SCHOOL	NY	\$81,749	1	22%	1	50%	\$433,000	1	\$17,124	1	3	3925	27,835
GARDEN CITY UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$104,088	1	30%	1	56%	\$460,800	1	\$16,672	1	3	3907	21,555
LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$68,766	1	22%	1	47%	\$346,000	1	\$21,611	1	3	3707	34,245
ROCKVILLE CENTRE UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$80,490	1	24%	1	52%	\$359,400	1	\$14,792	1	3	3593	22,240
HEWLETT-WOODMERE UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$84,201	1	24%	1	50%	\$328,200	1	\$16,157	1	3	3415	20,340
HARRISON CENTRAL SCHOOL	NY	\$80,738	1	21%	1	48%	\$578,700	1	\$16,405	1	3	3304	24,145
RADNOR TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT	PA	\$74,272	1	34%	1	58%	\$326,500	1	\$18,672	1	3	3184	30,880
DEXTER COMMUNITY SCHOOL	MI	\$72,223	1	20%	1	49%	\$222,600	1	\$19,064	1	8	3182	15,940
HOLLISTON	MA	\$78,092	1	19%	1	57%	\$237,100	1	\$16,446	1	3	3122	13,800
SOUTH ORANGETOWN CENTRAL SCHOOL	NY	\$73,481	1	20%	1	48%	\$271,700	1	\$15,501	1	3	3097	20,145
ROSLYN UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$103,458	1	31%	1	55%	\$508,400	1	\$19,314	1	3	3095	18,435
JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$111,122	1	31%	1	63%	\$440,900	1	\$23,790	1	3	3059	13,930
SOMERS CENTRAL SCHOOL	NY	\$88,332	1	23%	1	54%	\$288,300	1	\$17,608	1	8	2856	17,450
NYACK UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$65,988	1	20%	1	49%	\$247,000	1	\$15,812	1	3	2842	23,295
BYRAM HILLS CENTRAL SCHOOL	NY	\$152,921	1	33%	1	59%	\$624,200	1	\$21,151	1	8	2562	10,825
NORTH SHORE CENTRAL SCHOOL	NY	\$82,462	1	23%	1	50%	\$373,100	1	\$22,987	1	3	2551	16,025
RYE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	NY	\$107,537	1	34%	1	57%	\$606,600	1	\$16,447	1	3	2541	13,955
MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$121,858	1	31%	1	59%	\$659,800	1	\$20,465	1	3	2502	15,740
EASTCHESTER UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$71,380	1	22%	1	52%	\$355,600	1	\$16,513	1	3	2479	17,360
ORANGE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	OH	\$93,911	1	31%	1	58%	\$331,600	1	\$24,119	1	3	2361	13,795
ARDSLEY UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$110,730	1	35%	1	66%	\$365,200	1	\$16,008	1	3	2135	9,890
GREENBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL	NY	\$74,190	1	22%	1	50%	\$276,000	1	\$19,259	1	3	1995	22,000
IRVINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$90,728	1	31%	1	63%	\$414,900	1	\$14,906	1	3	1856	9,965
EDGEMONT UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$150,208	1	43%	1	73%	\$577,800	1	\$14,694	1	3	1724	6,645
EAST WILLISTON UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$108,088	1	34%	1	55%	\$484,100	1	\$18,140	1	3	1664	8,620
BRIARCLIFF MANOR UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$131,929	1	37%	1	67%	\$490,200	1	\$15,949	1	3	1622	7,075
BEACHWOOD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	OH	\$66,526	1	27%	1	60%	\$247,700	1	\$20,334	1	3	1534	12,965
OYSTER BAY-EAST NORWICH UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$74,302	1	20%	1	50%	\$378,300	1	\$19,827	1	3	1466	13,295
BRONXVILLE UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$144,940	1	43%	1	65%	\$959,600	1	\$19,943	1	3	1451	6,545
MOUNTAIN LAKES BOROUGH	NJ	\$141,757	1	35%	1	69%	\$488,900	1	\$16,896	1	3	1426	4,255
NORTH SALEM CENTRAL SCHOOL	NY	\$101,629	1	24%	1	54%	\$312,700	1	\$19,308	1	8	1414	7,565
DOBBS FERRY UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$70,139	1	25%	1	51%	\$344,000	1	\$15,452	1	3	1318	9,185
BLIND BROOK-RYE UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$122,594	1	36%	1	63%	\$489,300	1	\$16,682	1	3	1265	5,755
PORT JEFFERSON UNION FREE SCHOOL	NY	\$75,761	1	36%	1	63%	\$279,000	1	\$22,029	1	3	1191	7,470
REDONDO BEACH UNIFIED	CA	\$69,194	1	17%	1	53%	\$353,400	1	\$7,887	1	3	7639	63,270
LAKE OSWEGO SCHOOL DISTRICT	OR	\$70,226	1	23%	1	55%	\$281,000	1	\$7,443	1	3	7112	40,015
CATALINA FOOTHILLS UNIFIED	AZ	\$67,402	1	30%	1	61%	\$264,900	1	\$6,572	1	3	4972	33,170
LA CANADA UNIFIED	CA	\$112,941	1	30%	1	68%	\$610,900	1	\$7,447	1	3	4303	17,875
MERCER ISLAND	WA	\$91,904	1	32%	1	65%	\$573,900	1	\$8,314	1	3	4187	22,035

Source: CCD Census of Governments, School District Demographics from NCES; analysis by Bettie Landauer-Menchik, Director of Data Services Unit of K-12 Outreach, Michigan State University

**Table 2: School Districts in the top ventile for Household Income, High Educational Attainment, High Percentage in Management Enrollment between 1,000 and 10,000 Students, sorted by Per Pupil Expenditure**

sdbname	State	Median_Ho usehold_In come	Ventile Income	MS ventile	MS ventile	Mangem ent ventile	Managem ent ventile	Median_Val ue_of_owne r_occupied_ housing	Hse value ventile	ExpPP	Ventile for exp.	NCES Locatio n	K12_Stu dents	Total_Popul ation
BAINBRIDGE ISLAND	WA	\$70,110	1	26%	1	56%	1	\$335,000	1	\$7,022		8	4120	20,310
EAGLE-UNION COMMUNITY S	IN	\$69,713	1	21%	1	54%	1	\$222,800	1	\$7,590		3	3750	15,935
OAK PARK UNIFIED	CA	\$89,227	1	18%	1	57%	1	\$371,000	1	\$7,758		3	3593	14,365
KENSTON LOCAL SCHOOL DIS	OH	\$84,045	1	18%	1	49%	1	\$230,800	1	\$8,085		8	3101	15,900
GROTON-DUNSTABLE	MA	\$83,762	1	22%	1	57%	1	\$274,500	1	\$8,274		3	2689	12,375
LAGUNA BEACH UNIFIED	CA	\$71,450	1	22%	1	58%	1	\$584,000	1	\$8,221		3	2649	30,405

Table 3: Secondary districts in rural areas ranked by Educational Attainment, Professional occupations, and population size

sdbname	City	State	Population	MS+	Rank MS +	Management	Rank of Management	Education	Medical	Median Hse Income	Rank Income	Median Value Homes	Rank Housing Value	% revenue from Local	Per student expenditure	Rank PP Exp	Title_1
DRESDEN SCHOOL DIST	HANOVER	NH	10,850	43%	1	67%	1	22%	12%	\$72,470	1	\$262,200	1	82%	\$10,886	3	\$11
REGIONAL HIGH SCH	DEEP RIVER	CT	14,860	17%	1	45%	1	8%	6%	\$61,310	2	\$193,900	2	90%	\$12,295	2	\$15
MOUNT GREYLOCK	WILLIAMSTON	MA	11,645	23%	1	49%	1	16%	6%	\$50,051	3	\$141,000	3	62%	\$10,633	3	\$36
FRONTIER	SOUTH DEER	MA	11,910	19%	1	44%	2	11%	6%	\$47,500	3	\$157,800	2	47%	\$14,553	1	\$87
MIDDLEBURY UHSD	MIDDLEBURY	VT	14,300	17%	1	38%	1	11%	4%	\$40,081	4	\$119,900	3	87%	\$13,977	1	\$0
MARANACOOK CSD	R. READFIELD	MA	7,460	15%	2	45%	1	10%	7%	\$47,589	3	\$111,700	3	58%	\$20,410	1	\$0
HAMPSHIRE	WESTHAMPT.	MA	11,385	13%	2	42%	2	7%	10%	\$56,389	2	\$146,900	3	55%	\$11,415	2	\$0
WOODSTOCK UHSD	4 WOODSTOCK	VT	6,165	16%	2	41%	2	9%	4%	\$46,481	3	\$150,100	2	96%	\$10,148	3	\$0
UHSD 32	MONTPELIER	VT	9,565	14%	2	41%	2	9%	4%	\$45,657	3	\$106,900	4	84%	\$19,432	1	\$0
HARWOOD UHSD	19 DUXBURY	VT	12,340	13%	2	42%	2	7%	5%	\$47,013	3	\$131,500	3	92%	\$9,916	4	\$0
LELAND AND GRAY	UH TOWNSHEND	VT	4,590	14%	2	37%	3	8%	5%	\$40,478	3	\$110,800	3	98%	\$8,820	4	\$0
RANDOLPH UHSD	2 RANDOLPH	VT	7,270	11%	2	37%	3	8%	6%	\$41,593	3	\$93,900	4	74%	\$11,483	2	\$0
MOUNT ABRAHAM	UHS BRISTOL	VT	10,330	11%	2	37%	3	8%	5%	\$46,070	3	\$108,700	4	96%	\$8,983	4	\$0
PROFILE SCHOOL DIST	LITTLETON	NH	3,935	13%	2	37%	3	7%	5%	\$39,971	4	\$109,500	3	58%	\$11,702	2	\$0
BRATTLEBORO UHSD	BRATTLEBOR	VT	20,740	15%	2	36%	3	9%	4%	\$36,920	4	\$115,500	3	86%	\$9,246	4	\$0
ADAMS CENTRAL	JR-S HASTINGS	NE	4,660	9%	3	37%	3	6%	7%	\$47,045	3	\$111,900	3	85%	\$9,284	4	\$0
VERGENNES UHSD	5 VERGENNES	VT	7,950	8%	3	35%	3	8%	4%	\$46,299	2	\$109,500	4	74%	\$16,197	1	\$0
REGIONAL HIGH SCH	CHAPLIN	CT	5,565	10%	3	34%	4	9%	5%	\$53,964	2	\$126,500	3	89%	\$12,690	2	\$15
BLACK RIVER USD	39 LUDLOW	VT	3,690	8%	3	31%	4	6%	3%	\$38,185	4	\$116,000	3	96%	\$9,724	4	\$0
OXBOW UHSD	30 BRADFORD	VT	4,245	8%	3	29%	4	8%	4%	\$36,419	4	\$90,700	5	83%	\$12,410	2	\$0
GREEN MOUNTAIN	UHS CHESTER	VT	4,995	8%	3	32%	4	6%	4%	\$37,730	4	\$97,600	4	91%	\$9,942	4	\$0
RALPH C MAHAR	ORANGE	MA	10,615	10%	3	30%	4	6%	4%	\$39,328	4	\$94,700	4	46%	\$12,447	2	\$111
LAMOILLE UHSD	18 HYDE PARK	VT	11,450	8%	3	32%	4	7%	4%	\$38,333	4	\$100,600	4	83%	\$10,443	3	\$1
SISKIYOU UNION	HIGH MT. SHASTA	CA	16,100	8%	3	33%	4	7%	6%	\$30,915	5	\$117,400	3	37%	\$8,515	4	\$0
ARTHUR COUNTY	HIGH ARTHUR	NE	460	5%	4	42%	2	7%	3%	\$27,125	5	\$44,300	5	88%	\$21,579	1	\$0
HYANNIS HIGH SCH	HYANNIS	NE	1,130	4%	4	36%	3	4%	2%	\$31,713	5	\$30,200	5	81%	\$11,925	2	\$3
BURWELL JR-SR	HIGH BURWELL	NE	1,850	5%	4	35%	3	7%	5%	\$26,481	5	\$37,600	5	52%	\$10,080	3	\$0
ETNA UNION	HIGH ETNA	CA	5,270	6%	4	36%	3	9%	4%	\$32,317	5	\$107,900	4	32%	\$10,855	3	\$0
MILL RIVER USD	40 NORTH CLAR	VT	6,185	6%	4	32%	4	7%	6%	\$42,445	3	\$98,800	4	96%	\$8,438	4	\$0
OTTER VALLEY	UHSD BRANDON	VT	8,015	7%	4	29%	4	8%	4%	\$37,105	4	\$91,500	4	97%	\$9,497	4	\$0
HAZEN UHSD	26 HARDWICK	VT	4,950	7%	4	28%	4	8%	3%	\$34,306	5	\$75,000	5	96%	\$8,213	4	\$0
MOUNT ANTHONY	UHS BENNINGTON	VT	19,695	8%	4	30%	4	8%	5%	\$34,817	5	\$98,000	4	86%	\$7,501	5	\$0
TUTTLE-PETTIBONE	PI TUTTLE	ND	340	3%	5	48%	1	8%	2%	\$22,813	5	\$12,800	5	52%	\$10,104	3	\$32
GOLDEN VALLEY	PUBL GOLDEN VALL	ND	390	0%	5	48%	1	7%	3%	\$30,714	5	\$18,100	5	58%	\$9,889	4	\$19
MARION PUBLIC	SCHO MARION	ND	560	2%	5	41%	2	7%	2%	\$25,625	5	\$19,300	5	55%	\$7,930	5	\$0
THEDFORD RURAL	HIC THEDFORD	NE	690	3%	5	37%	3	10%	1%	\$29,545	5	\$38,900	5	76%	\$16,074	1	\$0
IVANHOE	IVANHOE	MN	1,465	2%	5	38%	3	4%	4%	\$30,464	5	\$39,300	5	25%	\$10,069	4	\$0
HOPE PUBLIC	SCHOOL HOPE	ND	710	1%	5	30%	4	8%	3%	\$35,000	4	\$24,500	5	59%	\$10,136	3	\$36
ROUND LAKE	ROUND LAKE	MN	800	2%	5	27%	5	5%	1%	\$35,114	4	\$52,100	5	32%	\$7,530	5	\$0
WOOD RIVER	RURAL F- WOOD RIVER	NE	3,035	3%	5	27%	5	3%	3%	\$38,261	4	\$71,100	5	85%	\$9,004	4	\$0
DELMAR SCHOOL	DIST DELMAR	DE	5,740	4%	5	27%	5	4%	4%	\$38,953	4	\$91,300	5	25%	\$10,893	3	\$112
HAMILTON UNION	HIGH HAMILTON CT	CA	3,265	3%	5	22%	5	6%	2%	\$34,304	5	\$87,600	5	30%	\$9,855	4	\$0
BRAWLEY UNION	HIGH BRAWLEY	CA	25,760	3%	5	23%	5	7%	4%	\$30,687	5	\$97,200	4	33%	\$11,699	2	\$0